

---

---

**CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF WATERFORD  
PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING  
TUESDAY, MAY 23, 2023 6:00 P.M.**

I. Call the Meeting to Order

**Chairperson Ray** called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

II. Roll Call

Present: Matt Ray, Chair  
Scott Sintkowski, Vice Chair  
Dave Kramer, Secretary  
Tony Bartolotta, Commissioner  
Art Frasca, Commissioner  
Thomas Strat, Commissioner  
Steve Printz, Commissioner

Absent: None

Also Present: Justin Daymon, Zoning Administrator  
Lisa Kane, Recording Secretary

Public Present: Approximately 12 members of the public

III. Approve the Agenda of the May 23, 2023, regular meeting of the Planning Commission as printed.

**MOTION AND VOTE**

*Moved by Bartolotta*

*Supported by Frasca: Resolved to **Approve** the May 23, 2023 Planning Commission Meeting Agenda.*

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY ROLL CALL VOTE**

**(Ray/yes, Strat/yes, Kramer/yes, Sintkowski/yes, Frasca/yes, Printz/yes, Bartolotta/yes)  
(7 - 0)**

IV. Approve the Minutes of the May 11, 2023, special meeting of the Planning Commission as printed.

**MOTION AND VOTE**

*Moved by Bartolotta*

*Supported by Strat: Resolved to **Approve** the March 11, 2023 Planning Commission Meeting Special Meeting Minutes as printed.*

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY ROLL CALL VOTE**

*(Ray/yes, Strat/yes, Kramer/yes, Sintkowski/yes, Frasca/yes, Printz/yes, Bartolotta/yes)*  
*(7 - 0)*

V. Consent Agenda

**MOTION AND VOTE**

*Moved by Frasca*

*Supported by Bartolotta: Resolved to **Approve** the Planning Commission Meeting Consent Agenda.*

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY ROLL CALL VOTE**

*(Ray/yes, Strat/yes, Kramer/yes, Sintkowski/yes, Frasca/yes, Printz/yes, Bartolotta/yes)*  
*(7 - 0)*

VI. Site Plans and Public Hearings

**1. OLD BUSINESS - Change of Use Case No. PCR 23-012 Amazing Start Child Care Center**

**Parcel I.D. No.** 13-26-201-047; T3N, R9E, SEC 26 SUNNY SLOPE ACRES PART OF LOTS 33 & 34 BEG AT PT DIST S 87-20-45 E 248.85 FT & S 41-50-15 E 453.13 FT FROM NW COR OF LOT 33, TH N 48-09-45 E 179.81 FT, TH S 87-20-45 E 138.48 FT, TH S 02-22-15 W 256.08 FT, TH N 41-50-15 W 132.89 FT, TH S 46-55-08 W 100.00 FT, TH N 41-50-15 W 150.00 FT TO BEG

**Requesting:** The applicant is proposing to open a Child Day Care Center in a multi-tenant commercial property.

**Property Location:** 3360 Highland Rd

**Property Zoned:** C-3, General Business

**Applicant:** Alma McShan

**Applicant Present:** None present

**Mr. Daymon** introduced the change of use request. The applicant has received all required local approvals, however they do require State approval. The Planning Commission requested to review and comment on the application due concern over the drop off and pick up location for the children.

**MOTION AND VOTE**

*Moved by Frasca*

*Supported by Bartolotta: Resolved to review the case PCR 23-012 Amazing Start Child Care Center after all other cases on the agenda.*

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY ROLL CALL VOTE**

*(Ray/yes, Strat/yes, Kramer/yes, Sintkowski/yes, Frasca/yes, Printz/yes, Bartolotta/yes)*  
*(7 - 0)*

*Moved by Bartolotta*

*Supported by Frasca: Resolved to **deny** the request for administrative approval of the change of use.*

**Commissioner Sintkowski** inquired if the applicant will have to wait for 1 year to re-apply.

Mr. Daymon stated that his understanding is that they can return sooner than that.

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY ROLL CALL VOTE**  
*(Ray/yes, Strat/yes, Kramer/yes, Sintkowski/yes, Frasca/yes, Printz/yes, Bartolotta/yes)*  
*(7 - 0)*

**2. Major Site Plan Review Case No. PSP 23-1418-A Provisioning Center Building Addition**

**Parcel I.D. No.** 13-22-176-001; T3N, R9E, SEC 22 HURONSIDE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT LOT 1, ALSO WLY 61 FT OF LOT 2  
**Requesting:** Site plan approval to build an addition to the rear of the existing building.  
**Property Location:** 4641 Highland Rd  
**Property Zoned:** C-3, General Business  
**Applicant:** D&R Investment dba Planet 59

Applicant Present: Nickolas Thomas, General Manager of 4641 Highland Rd

Mr. Daymon gave a brief presentation of the request for an addition to an existing building.

Mr. Thomas stated that the addition would give them the ability to provide a break room for staff and for storage.

**MOTION AND VOTE**

*Moved by Kramer*

*Supported by Bartolotta: Resolved to **approve with conditions** the request for site plan approval in this case based on the following findings and conclusions under the Ordinance review criteria and considerations, which are based on assessment of the information and statements presented in this case by or for the Township Staff, Applicant, and members of the public.*

**Conditions:**

1. All comments will be addressed to the satisfaction of staff prior to final approval.
2. Staff may coordinate and finalize site plan details.

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY ROLL CALL VOTE**  
*(Ray/yes, Strat/yes, Kramer/yes, Sintkowski/yes, Frasca/yes, Printz/yes, Bartolotta/yes)*  
*(7 - 0)*

**3. Rezoning Case No. PRSA 23-04-02 Rezoning O-1, Local Office to R-1C, Single Family Residential – PUBLIC HEARING**

**Parcel I.D. No.** 13-10-254-025; LOTS 6 AND 7 OF MONROE SUBDIVISION PART OF THE NORTHEAST ¼ OF SECTION 10, TOWN 3 NORTH, RANGE 9 EAST, WATERFORD TOWNSHIP, OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN AS RECORDED IN LIBER 50 OF PLATS, ON PAGE 37, OAKLAND COUNTY RECORDS CONTAINING 9,600.00 SQUARE FEET OR .220 ACRES IF LAND.  
**Requesting:** The applicant is looking to rezone a portion of their parcel from O-1, Local Office to R-1C, Single-Family Residential.  
**Property Location:** 4400 Dixie Hwy  
**Property Zoned:** O-1, Local Office  
**Applicant:** Genisys Credit Union

Applicant Present: Michelle Mattson of 4416 Dixie Hwy

**Mr. Daymon** presented the rezoning request. The subject site meets the requirements for the proposed zoning.

**Chairperson Ray** opened public comment at 6:12 p.m.

No one from the audience spoke.

**Chairperson Ray** closed public comment at 6:12 p.m.

### **MOTION AND VOTE**

*Moved by Frasca*

*Supported by Bartolotta: Resolved to forward a **favorable** recommendation in Case No. 23-04-02 on to the Township Board, to rezone a portion of the subject parcel #13-10-254-025 from O-1, Local Office to R-1C, Single-Family Residential based on the following findings and conclusions under the Ordinance approval recommendation guidelines which are based on assessment of the information and statements presented in this case by or for the Township Staff, Applicant, and members of the public.*

### **Findings:**

- A. The requested zoning change **is** consistent with the adopted Master Plan as amended.
- B. The requested zoning change **is** consistent with existing uses and zoning classifications of properties within the general area of the subject zoning lot.
- C. The subject zoning lot **is** physically suitable to provide all dimensional and site requirements for the range of uses permitted under the proposed zoning classification.
- D. The trend of development in the general area of the subject zoning lot **is** consistent with the requested zoning change.
- E. The Township and other public agencies **do** possess the capacity to provide all utility and public safety services that would be required for the range of land uses permitted under the proposed zoning classification.
- F. The requested zoning change and the resulting range of uses permitted under the proposed zoning classification **will not** result in any significant environmental impacts.
- G. The proposed zoning amendment **will not** be detrimental to the public interest.

### **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY ROLL CALL VOTE**

*(Ray/yes, Strat/yes, Kramer/yes, Sintkowski/yes, Frasca/yes, Printz/yes, Bartolotta/yes)  
(7 - 0)*

### **4. Special Approval Case No. PRSA 23-04-03 (PSP 23-1461) Restaurant Establishment (Scooter's Coffee) w/ Drive-Thru Facilities – PUBLIC HEARING**

**Parcel I.D. No.** 13-22-277-014; COMMENCING AT THE SE CORNER OF SECTION 22, T3N, R9E, WATERFORD TOWNSHIP, OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN; THENCE N02°39'41"E 1,008.10 FEET ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID SECTION 22; THENCE N41°29'30"W 1,218.15 FEET ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF HIGHLAND ROAD M-59 (120' WIDE); THENCE N48°30'30"E 60.00 FEET; THENCE N41°29'30"W 534.42 FEET ALONG THE NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF SAID HIGHLAND ROAD M-59 TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE CONTINUING N41°29'30"W 150.00 FEET ALONG SAID HIGHLAND ROAD M-59; THENCE N48°30'30"E 194.80 FEET; THENCE S41°29'30"E 150.00 FEET; THENCE S48°30'30"W 194.80 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. BEING PART OF THE E ½ OF SECTION 22, T3N, R9E WATERFORD TOWNSHIP, OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN, AND CONTAINING 0.67 ACRES OF LAND MORE OR LESS. BEING SUBJECT TO EASEMENTS AND RESTRICTIONS OF RECORD, IF ANY.

**Requesting:** Special Approval to develop a proposed out-lot into a restaurant (Scooter's Coffee) with drive-thru facilities.

**Property Location:** **4200 Highland Rd**

**Property Zoned:** C-4, Extensive Business

**Applicant:** Three Boys Java, LLC

Applicant Present: Jay Beck of 10237 Reese Rd, Clarkston

**Mr. Daymon** introduced the project which is conditional upon a successful rezoning. The landscaping plan is deficient, and staff does not believe the project meets the criteria to reduce landscaping requirements.

**Chairperson Ray** opened public comment at 6:17 p.m.

No one from the audience spoke.

**Chairperson Ray** closed public comment at 6:18 p.m.

**Commissioner Bartolotta** inquired about the origins of Scooter's Coffee and asked about the type of the coffee they will be serving.

**Mr. Beck** stated that the company originated in Nebraska and there are 6 locations in Michigan. They will serve quality roasted coffee and food in the drive thru with no dine-in available.

**Commissioner Strat** noted that the plan was very thorough and well designed.

#### **MOTION AND VOTE**

*Moved by Bartolotta*

*Supported by Frasca: Resolved to **approve with conditions** the request for special approval in this case based on the following findings and conclusions under the Ordinance review criteria and considerations, which are based on assessment of the information and statements presented in this case by or for the Township Staff, Applicant, and members of the public.*

### Findings:

- A. The proposed use **is** in accordance with the objectives of the Master Plan and with future land use plans for the area as adopted or maintained by the Planning Commission.
- B.1 The proposed use **will** be compatible with adjacent uses of land in terms of building and activity location, scope of activity, character, hours of operation, and compliance with the performance standards required under ARTICLE II, Division 2-2.
- B.2 The proposed use **will not** have an adverse effect on the environment or adjacent properties beyond the normal effects of permitted principal uses in the same zoning district.
- B.3 The proposed use **will not** result in an impairment, pollution, and/or destruction of air, water, natural resources and/or the public trust therein.
- C. The proposed use **is not** located in any Special Approval use service areas identified and adopted by the Planning Commission.
- D. The proposed use **will not** impose an unreasonable burden upon public services and utilities.
- E. The proposed use **will** be in compliance with the regulations of the Zoning District in which it is proposed to be located.

### Conclusions:

- A.1 The proposed use **is** of such location, size and character as to be compatible with the orderly development of the Zoning District in which it is proposed to be situated.
- A.2 The proposed use **will** be compatible with the orderly development or use of adjacent zoning lots.
- A.3 Pedestrian circulation **will not** be hindered by the proposed use.
- A.4 Outdoor operations and display in connection with the proposed use **will not** burden and/or disrupt uses on adjacent properties.
- A.5 The natural and surrounding environment **will not** be negatively impacted by the proposed use.
- B. The proposed use **will** be within the capacity limitations of the existing or proposed public services and facilities which serve its proposed location.
- C. The proposed use **will not** be conducive to fulfilling a gap in the geographic coverage of such services to Township residents.

### Conditions:

The conditions of this approval, which have considered the Planning Commission's ability to impose reasonable restrictions to ensure compliance with the performance standards established in the Zoning Ordinance, are as follows:

1. All comments will be addressed to the satisfaction of staff prior to final approval.
2. Staff may coordinate and finalize site plan details.
3. The Planning Commission reserves the right to modify or revoke this special approval following complaints, safety concerns, or site plan violations.
4. The applicant must work with MDOT to satisfy their comments.

### **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY ROLL CALL VOTE**

**(Ray/yes, Strat/yes, Kramer/yes, Sintkowski/yes, Frasca/yes, Printz/yes, Bartolotta/yes)  
(7 - 0)**

**5. Rezoning Case No. PRSA 23-04-04 Rezoning C-4, Extensive Business to C-3, General Business – PUBLIC HEARING**

**Parcel I.D. No.** 13-22-277-014; COMMENCING AT THE SE CORNER OF SECTION 22, T3N, R9E, WATERFORD TOWNSHIP, OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN; THENCE N02°39'41"E 1,008.10 FEET ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID SECTION 22; THENCE N41°29'30"W 1,218.15 FEET ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF HIGHLAND ROAD M-59 (120' WIDE); THENCE N48°30'30"E 60.00 FEET; THENCE N41°29'30"W 534.42 FEET ALONG THE NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF SAID HIGHLAND ROAD M-59 TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE CONTINUING N41°29'30"W 150.00 FEET ALONG SAID HIGHLAND ROAD M-59; THENCE N48°30'30"E 194.80 FEET; THENCE S41°29'30"E 150.00 FEET; THENCE S48°30'30"W 194.80 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. BEING PART OF THE E ½ OF SECTION 22, T3N, R9E WATERFORD TOWNSHIP, OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN, AND CONTAINING 0.67 ACRES OF LAND MORE OR LESS. BEING SUBJECT TO EASEMENTS AND RESTRICTIONS OF RECORD, IF ANY.

**Requesting:** The applicant is looking to rezone a portion of their parcel from C-4, Extensive Business to C-3, General Business.

**Property Location:** 4200 Highland Rd

**Property Zoned:** C-4, Extensive Business

**Applicant:** Three Boys Java, LLC

**Applicant Present:** Jay Beck of 10237 Reese Rd, Clarkston

**Mr. Daymon** presented the request for rezoning that will allow for the applicant to apply for a lot split. The subject lot meets the size standards for C-3, General Business.

**Chairperson Ray** opened public comment at 6:27 p.m.

No one from the audience spoke.

**Chairperson Ray** closed public comment at 6:27 p.m.

**MOTION AND VOTE**

*Moved by Frasca*

*Supported by Bartolotta:* Resolved to forward a **favorable** recommendation in Case No. 23-04-04 on to the Township Board, to rezone a portion of the subject parcel #13-22-277-014 from C-4, Extensive Business to C-3, General Business based on the following findings and conclusions under the Ordinance approval recommendation guidelines which are based on assessment of the information and statements presented in this case by or for the Township Staff, Applicant, and members of the public.

**Findings:**

- A. The requested zoning change **is** consistent with the adopted Master Plan as amended.
- B. The requested zoning change **is** consistent with existing uses and zoning classifications of properties within the general area of the subject zoning lot.
- C. The subject zoning lot **is** physically suitable to provide all dimensional and site requirements for the range of uses permitted under the proposed zoning classification.

- D. The trend of development in the general area of the subject zoning lot **is** consistent with the requested zoning change.
- E. The Township and other public agencies **do** possess the capacity to provide all utility and public safety services that would be required for the range of land uses permitted under the proposed zoning classification.
- F. The requested zoning change and the resulting range of uses permitted under the proposed zoning classification **will not** result in any significant environmental impacts.
- G. The proposed zoning amendment **will not** be detrimental to the public interest.

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY ROLL CALL VOTE**

**(Ray/yes, Strat/yes, Kramer/yes, Sintkowski/yes, Frasca/yes, Printz/yes, Bartolotta/yes)  
(7 - 0)**

**6. Special Approval Case No. PRSA 23-05-01 (PSP 23-1459) Outdoor Dining Patio at an Existing Restaurant – PUBLIC HEARING**

**Parcel I.D. No.** 13-26-276-054; T3N, R9E, SEC 26 PART OF E 1/2 OF NE 1/4 BEG AT PT DIST N 89-55-00 W 811.88 FT & N 00-05-00 W 43 FT FROM E 1/4 COR, TH N 89-55-00 W 198.16 FT, TH N 00-05-00 W 327 FT, TH S 89-55-00 E 358.42 FT, TH S 64 FT, TH N 89-55-00 W 159.78 FT, TH S 263 FT TO BEG 1.72 A

**Requesting:** Special Approval to install an outdoor dining patio at an existing restaurant.

**Property Location:** 3150 Elizabeth Lake Rd

**Property Zoned:** C-3, General Business

**Applicant:** Vivid Design Group

**Applicant Present:** Maria Martinez of 3150 Elizabeth Lake Rd., Joanna Pulido, owner of 3150 Elizabeth Lake Rd., and Jesus, landscaping

**Mr. Daymon** introduced the project for an outdoor dining patio. The current landscaping is not in compliance with the site plan. Staff recommends greenbelt landscaping to bring into compliance with current standards as a condition of approval.

**Commissioner Bartolotta** inquired what hours the patio would be open to dining and will there be music.

**Ms. Martinez** stated that the hours of operation would be the same as the restaurant, 11 a.m. to 9 p.m. and there will not be music played on the patio.

**Chairperson Ray** asked how many tables and chairs would be on the patio and how large the patio will be.

**Ms. Martinez** stated she did not know how many tables will fit on the patio.

**Jesus**, the landscaping contractor, answered that the patio will be 17 feet by 40 feet and the brick wall will be 3 feet tall.

**Chairperson Ray** opened public comment at 6:35 p.m.

**Darcy Preston** of 131 Roberta Lane is concerned about lights and noise from the restaurant that she says they can hear from her garage. Ms. Preston stated that the fence at the rear of the property is in disrepair.

**Mr. Daymon** stated that the fence at the rear of the property is required to be maintained and he will look at the current site plan on file to see what was required. The patio will be at the front of the restaurant and will not impact the neighbors at the rear of the property.

**Ms. Martinez** addressed the comments from the neighbor.

**Robert Gibson** of 111 Loberta is satisfied with the explanation of where the patio will be located in relation to his home.

**Peter Edward** of 4745 Crescent Point spoke about landscaping and beautification of the Township.

**Chairperson Ray** closed public comment at 6:41 p.m.

## **MOTION AND VOTE**

*Moved by Kramer*

*Supported by Frasca: Resolved to **approve with conditions** the request for special approval in this case based on the following findings and conclusions under the Ordinance review criteria and considerations, which are based on assessment of the information and statements presented in this case by or for the Township Staff, Applicant, and members of the public.*

### **Findings:**

- A. The proposed use **is** in accordance with the objectives of the Master Plan and with future land use plans for the area as adopted or maintained by the Planning Commission.
- B.1 The proposed use **will** be compatible with adjacent uses of land in terms of building and activity location, scope of activity, character, hours of operation, and compliance with the performance standards required under ARTICLE II, Division 2-2.
- B.2 The proposed use **will not** have an adverse effect on the environment or adjacent properties beyond the normal effects of permitted principal uses in the same zoning district.
- B.3 The proposed use **will not** result in an impairment, pollution, and/or destruction of air, water, natural resources and/or the public trust therein.
- C. The proposed use **is not** located in any Special Approval use service areas identified and adopted by the Planning Commission.
- D. The proposed use **will not** impose an unreasonable burden upon public services and utilities.
- E. The proposed use **will** be in compliance with the regulations of the Zoning District in which it is proposed to be located.

### **Conclusions:**

- A.1 The proposed use **is** of such location, size and character as to be compatible with the orderly development of the Zoning District in which it is proposed to be situated.
- A.2 The proposed use **will** be compatible with the orderly development or use of adjacent zoning lots.

- A.3 Pedestrian circulation **will not** be hindered by the proposed use.
- A.4 Outdoor operations and display in connection with the proposed use **will not** burden and/or disrupt uses on adjacent properties.
- A.5 The natural and surrounding environment **will not** be negatively impacted by the proposed use.
- B. The proposed use **will** be within the capacity limitations of the existing or proposed public services and facilities which serve its proposed location.
- C. The proposed use **will** be conducive to fulfilling a gap in the geographic coverage of such services to Township residents.

**Conditions:**

The conditions of this approval, which have considered the Planning Commission's ability to impose reasonable restrictions to ensure compliance with the performance standards established in the Zoning Ordinance, are as follows:

- 1. All comments will be addressed to the satisfaction of staff prior to final approval.
- 2. Staff may coordinate and finalize site plan details.
- 3. The Planning Commission reserves the right to modify or revoke this special approval following complaints, safety concerns, or site plan violations.
- 4. The current standards for greenbelt landscaping must be improved along the property line that abuts the Elizabeth Lake Rd right-of-way.

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY ROLL CALL VOTE**

*(Ray/yes, Strat/yes, Kramer/yes, Sintkowski/yes, Frasca/yes, Printz/yes, Bartolotta/yes)  
(7 - 0)*

**7. Text Amendment Case No. PRSA 23-05-02 Increase Maximum Building Height in the M-1, Light Industrial and M-2, General Industrial Zoning Districts – PUBLIC HEARING**

**Requesting:** Text Amendment to increase the maximum building height allowed in the M-1, Light Industrial and M-2, General Industrial zoning districts.  
**Impacted Districts:** M-1, Light Industrial & M-2, General Industrial  
**Applicant:** Waterford Township

**Mr. Daymon** introduced the text amendment to increase the maximum building height allowed in the M-1 and M-2 zoning districts.

**Chairperson Ray** opened public comment at 6:48 p.m.

No one from the audience spoke.

**Chairperson Ray** closed public comment at 6:48 p.m.

**MOTION AND VOTE**

*Moved by Frasca*

*Supported by Bartolotta: Resolved to forward a **favorable** recommendation in Case No. 23-05-02 on to the Township Board, to amend the Zoning Ordinance for the Summit Place Overlay Zoning District and Table of Zoning Lot, Area, and Bulk Regulations on the findings and conclusions under the Ordinance approval recommendation guidelines which are based on assessment of the information and statements presented in this case by the Township Staff, and members of the public.*

**MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY ROLL CALL VOTE  
(Ray/yes, Strat/yes, Kramer/yes, Sintkowski/yes, Frasca/yes, Printz/yes, Bartolotta/yes)  
(7 - 0)**

VII. Discussions

VIII. Public Comment

**Chairperson Ray** opened public comment at 6:57 p.m.

**Peter Edward** of 4745 Crescent Point is concerned about site plan violations.

**Chairperson Ray** closed public comment at 7:08 p.m.

IX. All Else

X. Adjourn the Meeting

**Chairman Ray** adjourned the meeting at 7:24 p.m.

**Planning Commission Participation Guidelines:**

Members of the public will only be able to speak during the public comment period(s) provided during the meeting, unless otherwise permitted by the Chairperson. Public comments will be limited to three minutes per speaker. To provide for orderly public participation, a person wishing to speak must first state their name and address then request to be recognized by the Chairperson of the meeting. The Chairperson will recognize all persons wishing to speak during the public comment period. Prior to the meeting, members of the public may contact the members of the Planning Division to provide input or ask questions by email or mail to the Township employee/official and at the addresses listed below. Persons with disabilities in need of accommodations to be able to participate in the meeting should provide at least 24-hour advance notice to the listed Township employee by phone, email, or mail and an attempt will be made to provide reasonable accommodations.

Jeffrey M. Polkowski, AICP

Superintendent of Planning & Zoning

5200 Civic Center Drive, Waterford, Michigan 48329

Email: [planning@waterfordmi.gov](mailto:planning@waterfordmi.gov) Phone: (248) 674-6238

## Site Plans Currently Under Administrative Review

### Consent Agenda

Major Site Plans	1
------------------	---

#### Major Site Plans

1.) MAJOR Site Plan No. PSP 23-1463, Hangar No. 5

Location: Oakland County International Airport

Zoning: A-1, Airport

This applicant is proposing to construct a new 28,500 sqft hangar, and associated site improvements on OCIA property.